	i		
1	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH		
2	United States Attorney District of Nevada		
3	Nevada Bar No. 13644 KIMBERLY M. FRAYN		
3	Assistant United States Attorney		
4	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
5	Tel: 702.388.6336 / Fax: 702.388.6418		
6	kimberly.frayn@usdoj.gov		
7	Attorneys for United States of America		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	-0'	Oo-	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) 2:19-CR-00176-RFB-VCF	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	v.	Stipulation To Extend Government's Time To Respond To Defendant's	
13	JOSE DAVID GARCIA-GUTIERREZ,	Motion For Review of Magistrate Judge's Detention Order (First Request)	
14	Defendant.)	
15	This is timely filed. ECF 18.		
	The government and Rene L. Vallada	res, Federal Public Defender, and Andrew	
16	Wong, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for the defendant, Jose David Garcia-		
17	Gutierrez, ("Garcia"), do stipulate and agree that the government shall have an additional		
18	seven days, up to and including Thursday, August 15, 2019, in which to respond to Garcia's		
19	Motion For Review of Magistrate Judge's Detention Order, ("Motion"), ECF 16 and 17.		
20	This case is presently set for trial on or about September 23, 2019, and the brief 7-day		
21	extension agreed to herein will not disturb the trial setting.		
22	///		
23			
24		1	

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. The Government's response is presently due on Thursday, August 8, 2019. Counsel for both parties agree to the above-requested 7-day extension of time for the government to file its response to the pending Motion. Garcia is in custody pending trial but the brief 7-day extension will not disturb the September 2019 trial setting. Counsel for the defendant has represented that the defense does not oppose the proposed extension of time for the Government to file its response to the Motion.
- 2. The Motion does not include a transcript or recording of the detention hearing at issue. Government counsel obtained a copy of the recorded hearing late yesterday, August 7, but will need additional time to review it and incorporate it into an appropriate response. Additionally, the defendant's disc that was manually served on the government, ECF 17 Exhibit A, was defective. AFPD Wong provided a replacement disc late yesterday, August 7, but the government will need additional time to review it and incorporate it into an appropriate response.
- 3. The 7-day extension of time to file the government's response is not sought merely for purposes of delay. The additional time requested herein is necessary to allow the government sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly investigate, research, and respond appropriately to the Motion. Trial is set for September 23, 2019. The 7-day extension will not disturb the parties' ability to proceed to trial on that date, and is not unduly prejudicial to the defense.
- 4. The parties agree that the government shall have an additional seven days, up to and including Thursday, August 15, 2019, in which to respond to the Motion.
 - 5. Denial of this request for additional time would deny the parties the

1	opportunity of having continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due		
2	diligence. Further, denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice and the		
3	ends of justice served by granting this request, outweigh the best interest of the public and		
4	the defendant in a speedy trial.		
5	6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing		
6	the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act,		
7	Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D), and 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the		
8	factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).		
9	This is the first request to extent the government's time to respond to the Motion.		
10	DATED this 8th day of August, 2019.		
11	RENE L. VALLADARES	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH	
12	Federal Public Defender District of Nevada	United States Attorney District of Nevada	
13	/-/A1 XX	/a/IZ'aalaal M. Easaa	
14	/s/Andrew Wong ANDREW WONG	/s/ Kimberly M. Frayn KIMBERLY M. FRAYN	
15	Assistant Federal Public Defender Counsel for Defendant	Assistant U.S. Attorney	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Distractor) 2:19-CR-00176-RFB-VCF
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER
JOSE DAVID GARCIA-GUTIERREZ,))

Defendant.

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. The Government's response is presently due on Thursday, August 8, 2019. Counsel for both parties agree to the above-requested 7-day extension of time for the government to file its response to the pending Motion. Garcia is in custody pending trial but the brief 7-day extension will not disturb the September 2019 trial setting. Counsel for the defendant has represented that the defense does not oppose the proposed extension of time for the Government to file its response to the Motion.
- 2. The Motion does not include a transcript or recording of the detention hearing at issue. Government counsel obtained a copy of the recorded hearing late yesterday, August 7, but will need additional time to review it and incorporate it into an appropriate response. Additionally, the defendant's disc that was manually served on the government, ECF 17 Exhibit A, was defective. AFPD Wong provided a replacement disc late vesterday, August 7, but the government will need additional time to review it and incorporate it into an appropriate response.

- 3. The 7-day extension of time to file the government's response is not sought merely for purposes of delay. The additional time requested herein is necessary to allow the government sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly investigate, research, and respond appropriately to the Motion. Trial is set for September 23, 2019. The 7-day extension will not disturb the parties' ability to proceed to trial on that date, and is not unduly prejudicial to the defense.
- 4. The parties agree that the government shall have an additional seven days, up to and including Thursday, August 15, 2019, in which to respond to the Motion.
- 5. Denial of this request for additional time would deny the parties the opportunity of having continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Further, denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice and the ends of justice served by granting this request, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D), and 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the

factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv). This is the first request to extent the government's time to respond to the Motion. 1. THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the government shall have an additional seven days, up to and including Thursday, August 15, 2019, in which to respond to the defendant's motion. DATED this 9th day of August, 2019.

HONORABLE RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE